EFFECTIVNESS OF USING INDUCTIVE AND DEDUCTIVE APPROACH OF TEACHING ENGLISH

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14714014

Serjanova Aiparsha Kutlimuratovna

Karakalpak Academic Lyceum of the Ministry of theInternal
Affairs of the Republic of Uzbekistan

Abstract: The effectiveness of teaching English to school and university students through interactive and didactic methods. The study investigates the comparative effectiveness of teaching English grammar through constructive and engaging instruction. The study also aims to determine which of these two approaches has a positive impact on the graduation rates of university and elementary school students in Uzbekistan, therefore it provides answers to the following questions: In comparison to the deductive method at the university level, what is the impact of the inductive method on gross motor skills? When compared to the deductive method at elementary stage, what is the effect of the inductive method on gross motor skills? For each level based on its syllabus, the researcher prepares two programs based on educational and pedagogical methods to address the study's questions.

Key word: Inductive method, deductive method, elementary stage, university level, academic achievement.

INTRODUCTION

According to Boston (2014), grapheme is multifaceted; it is a formal mechanism, a functional system from sign language, or a dynamic resource that both users and learners can access at different times and in different ways. The main goal of grammar instruction is to help students understand linguistic concepts; students use grammar as a tool or resource for comprehension and clarification of oral and written content in an efficient, effective, and situation-appropriate manner (Huang, 2015). Teaching grammar plays a crucial role in every English foreign language (IFL) classroom and has been the focus of language teachers and learners for many years. Some people view it as essential to learning any foreign language, while others see it as a barrier to second language acquisition (SL). By means of his empirical study, (Ellis, 2016). Concluded that grammar teaching can help students enhance both their language proficiencies an daccuracy, facilitate the internalization of the syntactic system of the second and foreign language, And supply thedevelopment of fluency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The main aim of the present study is to find the comparative effectiveness of deductive and inductive methods on the academic achievement of the elementary school and University students. The objective is splitin to the following sub-objectives: To find the effect of both models on the use ofthe rules of grammar by the university students. Many educators are interested in comparing the effectiveness of instructional and engaging methods in teaching EFL. Inthe present study, the researcher mentioned someof their studies that conducted at

School level, whileothers were conducted at universitylevel. Studies related to School level Aisha (2002) conducted a study to investigate the comparativ effectiveness of teaching English grammar with the help of textbook (deductive method) and by using group work activities (inductive teaching model). The sample sizewas eighty (80) at the secondary and one hundred and twelve (112) at the elementary stage in Pakistan. In contrast to the experimental group, which was taught English grammar through group work activities using the engaging teaching method, the experimental group was taught English grammar through text book using the didactic method (traditional method). Following the treatment period, pertinent test results were administered to the students in both groups at each stage and were reviewed. The study's primary conclusions were that both experimental and control groups The teaching of English grammar through group work activities (inductive approach) was equivalent to teaching English grammar, and it played a positive role in enhancing the academic performance of the students studying English. The sample of the study consisted of 160 scientific and literary maleand femalestudentswhowere divided into twogroups, the inductive and

deductive. of research. Regarding the study's first question, which asks whether there are statistically significant differences ($\alpha \le 0$, 05) in the students' responses to instructions, the results indicate a significant difference in their favorite activity. They were divided into four experimental groups. The first and second groups learn grammar at university level (deductiveandinductive methods) by the two instructors (specialized in TEFL&curricula); the third and fourth groups learn grammarby using inductiveand deductive methods in schools by English teachers. The duration of teaching university students is one month, with weekly periods of five hours at each class, whereas the duration of teaching students at school is one month with five minimum satelementary stages.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For the purpose of the study two grammar achievement tests were used. The general purpose of these instruments was to compare the achievements of the four groups on the preand posttests. 1. Recognize the rules oftenses. 2. Recognize subject-verb agreement. 3. Make yes/no questions. 5. Make or form negative form. There searcher designed to table so specifications for both tests (for university and school students). That show the distribution of questions among content and objectives at school level so it finished in June. The following procedures were followed for the purpose of the study:

Firstly, to make sure that both group sare equivalent, test between the two groups of university stage was computed as shown in Table 1. Table1.Results of T-test on the grammar achievement on the Pre-test between theTwo Groups of University Stage the pre As can be seen from Table 1, the experimental group's mean scoreon pre-test was slightly similar to the control group's mean scoreon the same test (30.24; 29.62) respectively. It indicates that the T value of grammar was.189. Thus, it is not statistically significant at ($\alpha \le 0.09$) and both groups are equivalent. To test the significance of these differences between the mean scores of both groups on the reading comprehension inthepost-test, the T test statistical procedure was computed as showninTable 2.

Table 2. Results of T-test on the Grammar Achievement on the Post-test between the Two Groups of the University Stage Table 2 indicates that the T valueof grammar was 2.034. It is statistically significant at ($\alpha \le 0$, 09). Thus, the nullhypothesis which stated that there is no statistically significant differenceat ($\alpha \le 0$, 09) in the mean scores of grammarbetween the two groups was rejected. The mean scores of the grammar post test shown in table 2 indicate that the mean scores of the experimental group were higher than the mean scores of the control, where the mean score of the experimental group was 37.38, while the mean scores of the control group was 31.48.

CONCLUSION

The expected findings of the study indicate that the experimental groups at both primary and university stages are equivalent at the time of starting the experiment and the teaching of English grammar through inductive approach playsa positive role in improving theacademicachievement of the students studyingEnglish grammar levels(universityandelementary). It is necessary for teachers to vary their techniques and ways of teaching according to their students' interests and achievement levels with more emphasis on using the inductive way of teaching grammar. Teachers and instructors are encouraged to attend different intensive training course sheld by the Ministry of Education in order to be aware of various modern ways oft eaching English language. They are alsoen couraged to apply modern ways of teaching in their classes since this is oneof theaims of the Educational Reform for Knowledge Economy. Researchers should conduct other studies in other regions and on other areas on syntax in order to obtain a more comprehensive idea about which way of teaching is more influential in teaching grammar in Uzbekistan.

REFERENCES:

1. Aisha,B.(2012).The Comparative Effectiveness of Teaching English Grammar with the Help of Textbook and by Using Group WorkActivities. PhD dissertation, Allama Iqbal OpenUniversity, Islamabad.

- 2. Al-Emami, A.H.(2015). The Effectiveness of Inductive and Deductive Methods in Teaching Relative Clauses to First Se condary Students in Zarqa. Master Thesis. The Hashemite University.
 - 3. Bastone, R. (2014). Grammar. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 4. Brown, D. (2014). Principles of Language Learning and Teaching. 3rdrd edition. New Jersey: Prentice Hall Regents. Englewood Cliffs.
- 5. Bekmuratova U. B. Abstract on "The use of innovative technologies in teaching English." Tashkent 2012
 - 6. Harmer, J. (1991). The practice of English language teaching (4th ed.). Longman.
- 7. Hedge, T. (2000). Teaching and learning in the language classroom. Oxford University Press
- 8. Otaboeva, M. R. The use of modern innovative technologies in foreign language teaching and its effectiveness / M. R. Otaboeva. Text: neposredstvennyy, elektronnyy // Molodoy uchenyy. 2017. No. 4.2 (138.2). P. 36–37. URL: https://moluch.ru/archive/138/39058/ (contact information: 27.04.2020)
- 9. N. Q. Khatamova, M. N. Mirzayeva. "INTERACTIVE METHODS USED IN ENGLISH LESSONS" (methodical manual), Navoi, 2006, 40 pages.[3]
- 10. M. Kholdorova, N. Fayziyeva, F. Rikhsittilayeva. "USE OF ASSISTANCE IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING". Tashkent: Tashkent State Pedagogical University named after Nizami, 2005[4]