

**DIPLOMACY BY DESIGN: KNIFE HERITAGE AS CULTURAL AND ECONOMIC
STATECRAFT**

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17289461>

Zukhriddinov Shukhratbek Salohidin ugli

“Blue Blade” Company, Craftsman

Abstract: *This article investigates whether—and how—heritage knife craft can function as cultural and economic statecraft in Central Asia. Building on historical linkages along the Silk Roads, we conceptualize knives (pichoq/pchak) as portable “knowledge objects” whose forms, motifs, and maker marks travel with people, fairs, and museums. We assemble a program typology (joint exhibitions, city twinning, master–apprentice exchanges, cross-border fairs, and geographical-indication branding) and propose an identification strategy that pairs mixed-methods case studies with quasi-experimental evaluation: difference-in-differences (DiD) and synthetic control. Outcomes include bilateral trade in cutlery categories (HS 8211, 8214) and tourism flows, augmented by cultural memoranda of understanding (MOUs) and media sentiment. A brief policy section distills design principles for “craft diplomacy”: target specific city-pairs with artisanal density, codify standards via GI-style specifications where lawful, and synchronize events with tourism seasonality. The framework yields a replicable way to test whether heritage-led initiatives move the dial on cooperation and commerce—without demanding large budgets or treaty-level negotiations.*

Keywords: *cultural diplomacy; creative economy; geographical indications; evaluation; synthetic control; difference-in-differences; Central Asia.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Across Central Asia, historic caravan routes connected workshops, courts, and bazaars, with present-day Uzbekistan occupying a pivotal corridor of the Silk Roads. That geography produced enduring craft ecologies in cities such as Samarkand and Bukhara, where metalwork and trade intertwined for centuries. These routes shifted with politics, yet their cultural and mercantile footprints persisted—offering a natural substrate for contemporary cooperation programmed through heritage craft.

We ask two questions. First, can knife-focused heritage initiatives (exhibitions, exchanges, fairs, GI branding) measurably improve cooperation—proxied by trade and tourism—between participating places? Second, what design features make such programs effective while protecting authenticity and local value capture?

2. Literature and Conceptual Frame

Cultural diplomacy posits that culture—artifacts, practices, and shared heritage—can advance foreign-policy and societal goals by building trust and sustained contact. Recent syntheses trace its evolution and stress the need for evaluable program logics rather than symbolism alone. Complementary policy perspectives emphasize culture’s “soft power” channel when embedded in credible institutions and partnerships. We extend this literature by focusing on a specific, portable craft (knives) and by proposing measurement strategies suited to city-to-city initiatives.

Historically, Uzbek craft centers sat on trade crossroads, making knives a useful lens: they are legible to lay audiences, small enough to travel and exhibit, and dense with signals (materials, marks, motifs) that encode place. This portability simplifies programming (loan shows, pop-up demonstrations, residencies) and lowers transaction costs relative to large-scale cultural infrastructure.

3. Program Typology (“What to Do”)

We define five implementable program types that connect heritage to statecraft:

1. Joint exhibitions and rotating loan shows (museum–museum or museum–city partnerships) featuring historical and contemporary knives plus public demonstrations.

2. Master–apprentice exchanges across borders, with reciprocal residencies and public workshops.

3. Craft fairs and city festivals timed to tourism calendars, with curated knife pavilions and on-site certification.

4. City twinning focused on craft (work plan: training, joint catalogues, shared digital registries of maker marks).

5. Geographical indication (GI)–style branding, where lawful, to codify craft specifications (blade geometry ranges, steels, fit-and-finish, marks) and align producer groups with collective marketing.

GI feasibility in Uzbekistan is non-hypothetical: a dedicated GI law entered into force on March 3, 2022; it created a registration and use regime administered by the Intellectual Property Agency. Recent practice shows the register is active (e.g., Samarkand flatbread), signaling operational capacity that analogous craft sectors can study when shaping specs and collective marks.

4. Data and Outcomes (“What to Measure”)

We track outcomes along three observables:

- Trade in cutlery using bilateral flows for HS Chapter 82, especially HS 8211 (knives; with cutting blades) and HS 8214 (other cutlery articles). UN Comtrade provides consistent, comparable series and monthly/annual updates. Classification pages clarify product scope.

- Tourism flows, drawing on Uzbekistan’s Statistics Agency releases (e.g., quarterly “Tourist Flows” bulletins) and UN Tourism global references to benchmark seasonality. These series allow city-pair or country-pair trend comparisons around program launch dates.

- Cultural cooperation indicators, including counts of signed MOUs, frequency of joint events, and media/sentiment around knife-related programs (press archives, institutional websites, and curated social-media datasets).

5. Identification Strategy (“How to Test It”)

5.1 Difference-in-Differences (DiD)

For city-pairs engaging in a knife-heritage program at time T , we compare pre/post changes in outcomes (trade, tourism) to matched non-participant pairs over the same window. Parallel-trends diagnostics, placebo interventions, and staggered-adoption estimators mitigate common pitfalls.

5.2 Synthetic Control

Where a single treated pair (or country dyad) launches a flagship program, we construct a synthetic control from weighted donor units that replicate pre-treatment paths. Post-treatment gaps (with permutation inference) estimate program impact. This design is well-suited to place-based cultural interventions.

5.3 Mixed-Methods Integration

Quantitative results are paired with process tracing: stakeholder interviews (artisans, curators, festival organizers, cultural attachés), program documents (budgets, workplans, MOUs), and on-site observation (visitor flows, sales booths, workshop enrollments). Triangulation distinguishes signaling effects (media attention) from durable institutional ties (multi-year exchanges, procurement pipelines).

6. Case Logic and Sampling

We prioritize city-pairs with (a) documented knife-making lineages; (b) institutional anchors (museums, guilds, vocational schools); and (c) observable program timing. Historic hubs such as Samarkand and Bukhara provide contextual depth for case narratives and baseline exhibitions; adjacent regions with active metalwork (e.g., Khorasan, Xinjiang) offer natural partners for exchanges—subject to current diplomatic and visa regimes.

Sampling balances depth (two to three flagship cases with full archival and interview coverage) and breadth (a panel of smaller initiatives for pooled DiD estimates). Where data permit, we add a placebo craft (e.g., non-knife heritage) to test whether effects are craft-specific or reflect general cultural programming.

7. Measurement and Instruments

Trade panel. Monthly or annual dyadic exports/imports for HS 8211/8214 (Comtrade API or bulk downloads), harmonized with concordant mirror flows where needed. Tourism panel. Quarterly arrivals by country of residence; monthly where available from national statistical releases. Cooperation indicators. MOU counts, co-branded events, and media sentiment from curated press databases and official channels.

Interviews. Purposive sampling: master smiths and apprentices, sheath/handle specialists, fair organizers, museum directors/curators, chamber-of-commerce leads,

cultural attachés, logistics brokers, and participating trainees. Instruments probe program design, trust formation, procurement, quality assurance, and reputational spillovers.

Ethics & data governance. Informed consent; anonymization for commercially sensitive details; data/code deposition in an open repository upon publication.

8. Anticipated Findings and Mechanisms

We expect three pathways linking knife-heritage programs to cooperation outcomes:

1. Attention and access. Joint exhibitions and fairs lower search costs for buyers and institutions, translating into small but detectable gains in cutlery exports (by value or count) in the 12–24 months after launch. (Measured via DiD; validated by export broker interviews.)

2. Reputation and standards. Where legal frameworks allow, GI-style specifications raise willingness-to-pay and reduce buyer uncertainty, supporting premium lines and repeat procurement. Uzbekistan's GI law provides a national basis for such specifications, with recent registrations (e.g., Samarkand flatbread) illustrating institutional capacity.

3. Tourism bundling. Festivals and residencies boost purpose-of-visit counts and dwell time when timed with travel seasons—effects that can be read in quarterly or monthly arrivals and in event footfall. (Cross-checked against Stats Agency bulletins and UN Tourism trends.)

9. Design Principles for High-Impact Programs

- Specificity over slogans. Tie programming to identifiable maker marks, typologies, and workshop lineages to avoid generic “craft” branding.

- Mutual capability building. Structure exchanges around teach-and-learn modules (heat-treat control, finishing, documentation) and credential outcomes.

- Standards with flexibility. Codify core attributes (geometry ranges, materials, finish) while allowing stylistic variants; use GI-style specs where lawful to protect authenticity and reputation.

- Synchronize with travel cycles. Launch fairs/exhibitions alongside tourism peaks; leverage city-pair marketing and integrated ticketing.

- Evaluate by design. Pre-register indicators; set realistic baselines; combine DiD/synthetic control with qualitative tracing to explain how effects arise.

10. Limits and Future Research

Attribution remains challenging: parallel policies (visa regimes, air links) can confound estimates. Data gaps (e.g., sub-national trade, city-level tourism) constrain precision. Future work should add text-as-data on media narratives, trace procurement networks (museum shop sourcing, export brokers), and test scalable standards (digital registries of maker stamps and specifications) that anchor authenticity across borders.

11. Conclusion

Knife heritage is more than symbolism—it is a practical platform for cooperation that is legible to publics, measurable to policymakers, and meaningful to makers. By pairing

credible program design with quasi-experimental evaluation, governments, museums, and guilds can learn which interventions actually move trade and tourism outcomes—tightening relationships along corridors that once carried metals and motifs.

REFERENCES:

1. Abadie, A., Diamond, A., & Hainmueller, J. (2010). Synthetic control methods for comparative case studies: Estimating the effect of California's tobacco control program. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 105(490), 493–505.
2. Angrist, J. D., & Pischke, J.-S. (2008). *Mostly harmless econometrics: An empiricist's companion*. Princeton University Press. (See overview)
3. Dirlikov Canales, C. (2021, May 11). The future of cultural diplomacy. Harvard Kennedy School – Initiative for Strategy & International Relations.
4. Grincheva, N. (2024). The past and future of cultural diplomacy. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*.
5. LexUZ. (2022, March 3). Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan on geographical indications (ZRU-757).
6. Ministry of Justice of Uzbekistan (via Gazeta.uz). (2025, February 6). Samarkand flatbread registered as a geographical indication.
7. UN Tourism (UNWTO). (2024, December 20). *World Tourism Barometer and Statistical Annex*, November 2024.
8. UNESCO. (n.d.). Uzbekistan—Silk Roads Program.
9. United Nations Comtrade. (n.d.). Global trade data platform.
10. United Nations Statistics Division. (2017). HS 8211—Knives; with cutting blades, serrated or not (classification detail).
11. Uzbekistan Statistics Agency. (2024). Tourist flows (Jan–Mar 2024).